

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION (No. VI)

This Document Relates To :  
REGGY TAYLOR, Individually, KATHY LANE, Individually, and ROBERT M. TAYLOR, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Rose Taylor Deceased, Plaintiffs, v. LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES NC, et al. Defendants.

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2011, upon consideration of Defendant's motion to determine the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' answers to Defendant's second requests for admissions (Doc. 97), and Plaintiffs' motions for leave to file supplemental declarations of their expert reports in support of their responses to Defendant's motions in limine and for summary judgment (Docs. 106, 107.), along with their respective responses and replies, it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. Defendant's "Motion to Determine the Sufficiency of Answers 1-15 to Defendant's Second Request for Admissions and Deem Insufficient Answers as Admitted Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ. 36(a)(6)" (Doc. 97) is **DENIED**, Plaintiffs' objections to Defendant's requests for admission are **SUSTAINED**, and Plaintiffs' answers to Defendant's requests for admission are **DEEMED SUFFICIENT**.

2. Plaintiffs' motions for leave to supplement the record in support of their responses to Defendant's motions in limine and for summary judgment (Docs. 106, 107) are **GRANTED**.

BY THE COURT:



David R. Strawbridge  
DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE